arXiv:1211.3604v1 [math.CO] 15 Nov 2012

Maximum scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type and the Segre Variety $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$

G. Lunardon, G. Marino, O. Polverino, R. Trombetti

Abstract

In this paper we study a family of scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets of rank tn of the projective space $PG(2n-1,q^t)$ ($n \geq 1$, $t \geq 3$), called of *pseudoregulus type*, generalizing results contained in [21] and in [15]. As an application, we characterize, in terms of the associated linear sets, some classical families of semifields: the Generalized Twisted Fields and the 2-dimensional Knuth semifields.

Keywords: linear set, subgeometry, semifield

1 Introduction

In recent years the study of linear sets has constantly increased its importance mainly because of their connections with other geometric objects such as blocking sets, translation ovoids and semifield planes (for an overview see [22]).

In this paper we study a family of maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of the projective space $\Lambda = PG(2n-1,q^t)$ ($n \geq 1$, $t \geq 3$). They were first introduced in [21] for $n = 2$ and $t = 3$, and further generalized in [15] for $n \geq 2$ and $t = 3$. If Λ is not a line, it is possible to associate with any such linear set a family of $(q^{nt}-1)/(q^t-1)$ pairwise disjoint lines admitting exactly two $(n-1)$-dimensional transversal spaces. Such a set of lines is called *pseudoregulus*, in analogy to the pseudoregulus of $PG(3,q^2)$ introduced by Freeman in [7]. For this reason, we refer to the relevant family of linear sets as *linear sets of pseudoregulus type*.

All maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of $\Lambda = PG(2n-1,q^3)$ ($n \geq 2$) are of pseudoregulus type and they are all equivalent under the action of the collineation group of Λ (see [21, Propositions 2.8 and 2.7] for $n = 2$ and [15, §3 and Theorem 4] for $n \geq 3$). In this paper, we characterize $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets of $PG(2n-1,q^t)$ ($n \geq 1$, $t \geq 3$) of pseudoregulus type in terms of the associated projected subgeometry and we prove that there are $\varphi(t)/2$ (where φ denotes the Euler's phi function) orbits of such $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets under the action of the collineation group of $PG(2n-1,q^t)$ (Theorems 3.11, 3.13, 4.3 and 4.6). Also, we show that, when $t \geq 4$, there exist examples of maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets of $PG(2n-1,q^t)$ ($n \geq 1$) which are not of pseudoregulus type (Example 4.8).

Finally, in Section 5 we first prove some geometric properties of the Segre Variety $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ of the projective space $\mathbb{P} = PG(n^2-1,q)$. These properties, together with the results contained in Sections 3 and 4, allow us to describe and characterize the linear sets associated with some classical semifields: the Generalized Twisted Fields and the Knuth semifields 2-dimensional over their left nucleus (Propositions 5.3 and 5.7, Theorems 5.4 and 5.8).

2 Preliminary results

A $(t-1)$–spread of a projective space $PG(nt-1,q)$ is a family $\mathcal{S}$ of mutually disjoint subspaces of dimension $t-1$ such that each point of $PG(nt-1,q)$ belongs to an element of $\mathcal{S}$.
A first example of spread can be obtained in this way. Let $PG(n-1,q^t) = PG(V,\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. Any point P of $PG(n-1,q^t)$ defines a $(t-1)$–dimensional subspace $X(P)$ of the projective space $PG(nt-1,q) = PG(V,\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{X(P) : P \in PG(n-1,q^t)\}$ is a spread of $PG(nt-1,q)$, called *Desarguesian spread* (see [23], § 25)$^{(1)}$. If $n > 2$, the incidence structure $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D})$, whose points are the elements of $\mathcal{D}$ and whose lines are the $(2t-1)$–dimensional subspaces of $PG(nt-1,q)$ joining two distinct elements of $\mathcal{D}$, is isomorphic to $PG(n-1,q^t)$. The structure $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is called the $\mathbb{F}_q$*–linear representation* of $PG(n-1,q^t)$.

A Desarguesian $(t-1)$–spread of $PG(nt-1,q)$ can be obtained also as follows (see [23, §27], [16] and [3]). Embed $\Sigma \simeq PG(nt-1,q)$ in $\Sigma^* = PG(nt-1,q^t)$ in such a way that Σ is the set of fixed points of a semilinear collineation Ψ of Σ^* of order t. Let $\Theta = PG(n-1,q^t)$ be a subspace of Σ^* such that Θ, Θ^Ψ,..., $\Theta^{\Psi^{t-1}}$ span the whole space Σ^*. If P is a point of Θ, $X^*(P) =< P, P^\Psi, ..., P^{\Psi^{t-1}} >$ is a $(t-1)$–dimensional subspace of Σ^* defining a $(t-1)$–dimensional subspace $X(P) = X^*(P) \cap \Sigma$ of Σ. As P varies over the subspace Θ we get a set of $q^{t(n-1)} + q^{t(n-2)} + \cdots + q^t + 1$ mutually disjoint $(t-1)$–dimensional subspaces of Σ. Such a set is denoted by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Theta)$ and it turns out to be a Desarguesian $(t-1)$–spread of Σ. The $(n-1)$–dimensional subspaces Θ, Θ^Ψ,..., $\Theta^{\Psi^{t-1}}$ are uniquely defined by the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(\Theta) = \mathcal{D}(X)$ if and only if $X = \Theta^{\Psi^i}$ for some $i \in \{0,1,\dots,t-1\}$ and, following the terminology used by Segre [23, page 29], we will refer to them as *director spaces* of $\mathcal{D}$ (see also [15, Theorem 3]).

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a $(t-1)$-spread of $\Sigma = PG(nt-1,q)$ embedded in $\Sigma^* = PG(nt-1,q^t)$ in such a way that $\Sigma = Fix(\Psi)$ where Ψ is a semilinear collineation of Σ^* of order t. If H is an $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace of Σ^* such that
(i) $\Sigma^* = \langle H, H^\Psi, \dots, H^{\Psi^{t-1}}\rangle$;
(ii) $X^* \cap H \neq \emptyset$ for each $(t-1)$-dimensional subspace X^* of Σ^* such that $X^* \cap \Sigma \in \mathcal{S}$;
then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{S}$, i.e. $\mathcal{S}$ is a Desarguesian spread and H is one of its director spaces.

---

[1] In [23] a Desarguesian spread is called "Sistema Grafico Elementare".

2.1 Linear sets

Let $\Lambda = PG(r-1,q^t) = PG(V,\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, $q = p^h$, p prime, and let L be a set of points of Λ. The set L is said to be an $\mathbb{F}_q$*–linear* set of Λ if it is defined by the non–zero vectors of an $\mathbb{F}_q$–vector subspace U of V, i.e., $L = L_U = \{\langle \mathbf{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}} : \mathbf{u} \in U \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$. If $dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} U = k$, we say that L has *rank* k. If $\Omega = PG(W,\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ is a subspace of Λ and L_U is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ, then $\Omega \cap L_U$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of Ω defined by the $\mathbb{F}_q$–vector subspace $U \cap W$, and we say that Ω has weight i in L_U if $dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(W \cap U) = i$ and we write $\omega_{L_U}(\Omega) = i$. If $L_U \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$|L_U| \leq q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + \cdots + q + 1, \tag{1}$$

$$|L_U| \equiv 1\,(mod\,q). \tag{2}$$

For further details on linear sets see [22].

An $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set L_U of Λ of rank k is *scattered* if all of its points have weight 1, or equivalently, if L_U has maximum size $q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + \cdots + q + 1$.

In [4], the authors prove the following result on scattered linear sets.

Theorem 2.2. [4, Theorem 4.2] *A scattered* $\mathbb{F}_q$*–linear set of* $PG(r-1,q^t)$ *has rank at most* $rt/2$*.*

A scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set L of $PG(r-1,q^t)$ of maximum rank $rt/2$ is called *maximum scattered* linear set.

Remark 2.3. Note that if L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of $PG(r-1,q^t)$ containing more than one point, then by (2) L_U is not an $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$-linear set for each subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ properly containing $\mathbb{F}_q$. In other words, a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set L of rank $k > 1$ of $PG(r-1,q^t)$ is not a linear set of rank $n < k$. Also, by Theorem 2.2, a maximum scattered linear set of $PG(r-1,q^t)$ spans the whole space.

If $dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} U = dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}} V = r$ and $\langle U\rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}} = V$, then the $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set L_U is a *subgeometry* of $PG(V,\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(r-1,q^t)$ isomorphic to $PG(r-1,q)$. If $t = 2$, then L_U is a Baer subgeometry of $PG(r-1,q^2)$.

In [19], the authors give the following characterization of $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets. Let $\Sigma = PG(k-1,q)$ be a subgeometry of $\Sigma^* = PG(k-1,q^t)$, let Γ be a $(k-r-1)$–dimensional subspace of Σ^* disjoint from Σ and let $\Lambda = PG(r-1,q^t)$ be an $(r-1)$–dimensional subspace of Σ^* disjoint from Γ. Denote by

$$L = \{\langle \Gamma, P\rangle \cap \Lambda \,:\, P \in \Sigma\}$$

the projection of Σ from Γ onto Λ. We call Γ and Λ, respectively, the *center* and the *axis* of the projection. Denote by $p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}$ the map from Σ to L defined by $P \mapsto \langle \Gamma, P\rangle \cap \Lambda$ for each point P of Σ. By definition $p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}$ is surjective and $L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 2.4. [19, Theorems 1 and 2] *If* L *is a projection of* $\Sigma = PG(k-1,q)$ *onto* $\Lambda = PG(r-1,q^t)$*, then* L *is an* $\mathbb{F}_q$*–linear set of* Λ *of rank* k *and* $\langle L\rangle = \Lambda$*. Conversely, if* L *is* *an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of Λ of rank k and $\langle L\rangle = \Lambda$, then either L is a subgeometry of Λ or for each $(k-r-1)$–dimensional subspace Γ of $\Sigma^* = PG(k-1,q^t)$ disjoint from Λ there exists a subgeometry Σ of Σ^* disjoint from Γ such that $L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma)$.*

Also, in [14] it has been proven:

Theorem 2.5. [14, Theorem 3] *Let $L_1 = p_{\Gamma_1,\Lambda}(\Sigma_1)$ and $L_2 = p_{\Gamma_2,\Lambda}(\Sigma_2)$ be two $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets of rank k of $\Lambda = \langle L_1\rangle = \langle L_2\rangle$, and suppose that L_i is not a linear set of rank $n < k$. Then L_1 and L_2 are projectively equivalent if and only if there exists $\beta \in Aut(\Sigma^*)$ such that $\Sigma_1^\beta = \Sigma_2$ and $\Gamma_1^\beta = \Gamma_2$.*

Remark 2.6. Note that, if $S_\Gamma = \Sigma^*/\Gamma \simeq PG(r-1,q^t)$ denote the $(r-1)$–dimensional space obtained as quotient geometry of Σ^* on Γ, then the set $L_{\Gamma,\Sigma}$ of the $(k-r)$-dimensional subspaces of Σ^* containing Γ and with non-empty intersection with Σ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear linear set of the space S_Γ isomorphic to $L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma)$, for each $(r-1)$–dimensional space Λ disjoint from Γ. This means that $p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set $\{P+\Gamma : \ P \in \Sigma\}$ of the quotient space S_Γ, and hence it does not depend on the choice of the axis Λ, and we will simply denote it as $p_\Gamma(\Sigma)$.

3 Maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets of pseudoregulus type in $PG(2n-1,q^t)$

In this section we study a family of maximum scattered linear sets to which it can be associated a geometric structure called *pseudoregulus*. This generalizes results contained in [21], [12] and [15].

Definition 3.1. Let $L = L_U$ be a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of $\Lambda = PG(2n-1,q^t)$ of rank tn, $t,n \geq 2$. We say that L is of *pseoudoregulus type* if
(i) there exist $m = \frac{q^{nt}-1}{q^t-1}$ pairwise disjoint lines of Λ, say $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$, such that

$$w_L(s_i) = t, \ \textit{i.e.}\ |L \cap s_i| = q^{t-1} + q^{t-2} + \cdots + q + 1 \ \ \forall\ i = 1, \ldots, m;$$

(ii) there exist exactly two $(n-1)$–dimensional subspaces T_1 and T_2 of Λ disjoint from L such that $T_j \cap s_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, 2$.

We call the set of lines $\mathcal{P}_L = \{s_i \colon i = 1, \ldots, m\}$ the $\mathbb{F}_q$*–pseudoregulus* (or simply *pseudoregulus*) of Λ associated with L and we refer to T_1 and T_2 as *transversal spaces* of $\mathcal{P}_L$ (or transversal spaces of L) . Note that, by Remark 2.3, L spans the whole space and hence the transversal spaces T_1 and T_2 are disjoint. When $t = n = 2$, these objects already appeared in [7], where the term ”pseudoregulus” was introduced for the first time.

If L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of the projective space $PG(r-1,q^t)$, then by Theorem 2.2 every h-dimensional subspace X_h of $PG(r-1,q^t)$ intersects L in a linear set of rank at most $(h+1)t/2$, i.e. the weight of X_h in L is at most $(h+1)t/2$. So we get

Proposition 3.2. *If $t \geq 3$, then the lines of weight t in a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set L of $PG(r-1, q^t)$ of rank h are pairwise disjoint and hence the number of such lines is at most $(q^h - 1)/(q^t - 1)$.*

Proof. If ℓ and ℓ' are distinct lines of $PG(r-1, q^t)$ of weight t in L and $\ell \cap \ell' \neq \emptyset$, then the plane π joining ℓ and ℓ' has weight at least $2t - 1$ in L. On the other hand, since $\pi \cap L$ is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of the plane π, by Theorem 2.2, we also have that the weight of π in L is at most $3t/2$; so we get $t \leq 2$, a contradiction. Hence, the number of lines of $PG(r-1, q^t)$ having weight t in L is at most

$$\frac{q^{h-1} + q^{h-2} + \cdots + q + 1}{q^{t-1} + q^{t-2} + \cdots + q + 1} = \frac{q^h - 1}{q^t - 1}.$$

□

As a consequence of Proposition 3.2 we get

Corollary 3.3. *If L is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of the projective space $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t)$, with $t \geq 3$, then the associated pseudoregulus is the set of all the lines of Λ of weight t in L. Hence, the pseudoregulus associated with L and its transversal spaces are uniquely determined.*

Remark 3.4. If $t = 2$, a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set L of rank $2n$ of the projective space Λ is a Baer subgeometry isomorphic to $PG(2n-1, q)$ and each line spread of L produces a set of lines of Λ satisfying (i) of Definition 3.1 and each Desarguesian line spread, say $\mathcal{D}$, of L gives a set of lines of Λ satisfying both (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1. In this last case the transversal spaces are the two $(n-1)$-dimensional director spaces of the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}$. So each maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set L of the projective space $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^2)$ is of pseudoregulus type, but in this case the associate pseudoregulus is not uniquely defined; also, being these linear sets Baer subgeometries, they are all projectively equivalent. The same happens in the next case, i.e. each maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set L of the projective space $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^3)$ ($n \geq 2$) is of pseudoregulus type and they are all projectively equivalent (see [21] and [15, Theorem 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 7, Theorem 10]). Whereas, when $t > 3$: (i) there exist maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of the projective space $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t)$ which are not of pseudoregulus type (see Example 4.8); (ii) $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of pseudoregulus type, in general, are not all projectively equivalent (see Theorem 3.13).

The construction presented in [12, Section 2] when $t = 3$ and $n = 2$, can be generalized providing a simple way to construct scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of pseudoregulus type of $PG(2n-1, q^t)$ for any $t, n \geq 2$.

Let $\Lambda = PG(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, where $V = V(2n, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = U_1 \oplus U_2$, with $dim\, U_1 = dim\, U_2 = n$ and let $T_1 = PG(U_1, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ and $T_2 = PG(U_2, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. Now, let Φ_f be a strictly semilinear collineation between T_1 and T_2, induced by the invertible semilinear map $f : U_1 \to U_2$, having as companion automorphism an element $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ such that $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_q$. Then for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^t}$, the set

$$W_{\rho,f} = \{\underline{u} + \rho f(\underline{u}) \,:\, \underline{u} \in U_1\}$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–vector subspace of V of dimension tn and it is not difficult to see that $L = L_{W_{\rho,f}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ or rank tn of scattered type [2]. Also, we can see that for each line s_P joining the points $P = \langle \underline{u} \rangle$ and $P^{\Phi_f} = \langle f(\underline{u}) \rangle$ of T_1 and T_2 respectively, we have that

$$s_P \cap L = \{\langle \lambda \underline{u} + \lambda^\sigma f(\underline{u}) \rangle \,:\, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}. \tag{3}$$

Hence, the line s_P, for each $P \in T_1$, has weight t in L. Also, if $P \neq Q$, the lines s_P and s_Q are disjoint. This means that L satisfies (i) of Definition 3.1. Moreover, it is clear that $T_1 \cap s_P = \{P\}$ and $T_2 \cap s_P = \{P^{\Phi_f}\}$ for each $P \in T_1$ and that $T_1 \cap L = T_2 \cap L = \emptyset$. In addition, T_1 and T_2 are the only $(n-1)$-dimensional transversal spaces of the lines s_P. Indeed, if $T = PG(U, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(n-1, q^t)$ were another transversal space, then T would be disjoint from T_1 and T_2 and, since $T \cap s_P \neq \emptyset$ for each $P \in T_1$, we have that

$$U = \{\underline{u} + \lambda_{\underline{u}} f(\underline{u}) \,:\, \underline{u} \in U_1\},$$

where $\lambda_{\underline{u}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ for each $\underline{u} \in U_1$ and $\lambda_{\underline{u}} \neq 0$ for each $\underline{u} \neq \underline{0}$. Now, since U is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-subspace of V, the map f turns out to be an $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-linear map of V, a contradiction. So, also L satisfies (ii) of Definition 3.1 and hence $L_{W_{\rho,f}}$ is a maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of pseudoregulus type and $\mathcal{P}_L = \{s_P \,:\, P \in T_1\}$ is its associated pseudoregulus. Hence we have proved the following

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T_1 = PG(U_1, \mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ and $T_2 = PG(U_2, \mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ be two disjoint $(n-1)$-dimensional subspaces of $\Lambda = PG(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = PG(2n-1, q^t)$ and let Φ_f be a strictly semilinear collineation between T_1 and T_2 having as a companion automorphism an element $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ such that $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_q$. Then, for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$, the set*

$$L_{\rho,f} = \{\langle \underline{u} + \rho f(\underline{u}) \rangle \,:\, \underline{u} \in U_1 \setminus \{\underline{0}\}\}$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of pseudoregulus type whose associated pseudoregulus is $\mathcal{P}_{L_{\rho,f}} = \{\langle P, P^{\Phi_f} \rangle \,:\, P \in T_1\}$, with transversal spaces T_1 and T_2.

Remark 3.6. Note that, with the notation of the previous theorem, if $L_{\rho,f} \cap L_{\rho',f} \neq \emptyset$, then $L_{\rho,f} = L_{\rho',f}$ and this happens if and only if $N_{q^t/q}(\rho) = N_{q^t/q}(\rho')$. Hence T_1, T_2 and the collineation Φ_f define a set of $q-1$ mutually disjoint linear sets of pseudoregulus type admitting the same associated pseudoregulus $\mathcal{P}$ and covering, together with the transversal spaces T_1 and T_2, the pointset of $\mathcal{P}$.

Up to projective equivalence, the scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets $L_{\rho,f}$ only depend on the field automorphism associated with f. Indeed, we have

Theorem 3.7. *The $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t)$ $(n \geq 2, t \geq 2)$ $L_{\rho,f}$ and $L_{\rho',g}$ are $P\Gamma L$-equivalent if and only if $\sigma_f = \sigma_g^{\pm 1}$ where σ_f and σ_g are the automorphisms associated with f and g, respectively.*

---

[2] More generally, if $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_{q'}$, then $L_{W_{\rho,f}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q'}$-linear set of Λ of scattered type

Proof. If $t = 2$, the assertion follows from Remark 3.4. Let $t > 2$. Then, by Corollary 3.3 the transversal spaces associated with $L_{\rho,f}$ and $L_{\rho',g}$ are uniquely determined. Hence, up to the action of $PGL(2n, q^t)$, we may assume that the transversal spaces of $L_{\rho,f}$ and $L_{\rho',g}$ are the same. Also, since $L_{\rho,f} = L_{1,\rho f}$, we may consider scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of the form $L_{1,f}$. Suppose that $L_{1,f}$ and $L_{1,g}$ are projectively equivalent; i.e., there exists a collineation ϕ_F of $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t) = PG(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ defined by an invertible semilinear map F of the vector space V having companion automorphism τ, such that $\phi_F(L_{1,f}) = L_{1,g}$. By Corollary 3.3 $\phi_F(\{T_1, T_2\}) = \{T_1, T_2\}$. Precisely, either $\phi_F(T_i) = T_i$, $i = 1, 2$ or $\phi_F(T_i) = T_j$, $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$.

In the first case, we have that $F(U_1) = U_1$ and $F(U_2) = U_2$. Since $\phi_F(L_{1,f}) = L_{1,g}$, for each $\underline{u} \in U_1$ we have $\phi_F(\langle \underline{u} + f(\underline{u})\rangle) = \langle F(\underline{u} + f(\underline{u}))\rangle \in L_{1,g}$; in other words, for each vector $\underline{u} \in U_1$ we have

$$F(\underline{u} + f(\underline{u})) = \lambda_{\underline{u}}(\underline{u}' + g(\underline{u}')) = \lambda_{\underline{u}}\underline{u}' + \lambda_{\underline{u}} g(\underline{u}'), \tag{4}$$

where $\underline{u}' \in U_1$ and $\lambda_{\underline{u}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ if $\underline{u} \neq \underline{0}$. On the other hand, we also have $F(\underline{u} + f(\underline{u})) = F(\underline{u}) + F(f(\underline{u}))$, with $F(\underline{u}) \in U_1$ and $F(f(\underline{u})) \in U_2$. Taking this fact into account, since $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$, Equation (4) implies that

$$F(f(\underline{u})) = \lambda_{\underline{u}} g\Big(\frac{F(\underline{u})}{\lambda_{\underline{u}}}\Big) = \frac{\lambda_{\underline{u}}}{\lambda_{\underline{u}}^{\sigma_g}} g(F(\underline{u})). \tag{5}$$

Let now $\underline{u}$ and $\underline{v}$ be two nonzero vectors of U_1. If $\underline{u}$ and $\underline{v}$ are $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-independent, then by Equation (5), from one hand we have

$$F(f(\underline{u} + \underline{v})) = \lambda_{\underline{u}+\underline{v}}^{1-\sigma_g} g(F(\underline{u})) + \lambda_{\underline{u}+\underline{v}}^{1-\sigma_g} g(F(\underline{v})),$$

and from the other hand we get

$$F(f(\underline{u} + \underline{v})) = F(f(\underline{u})) + F(f(\underline{v})) = \lambda_{\underline{u}}^{1-\sigma_g} g(F(\underline{u})) + \lambda_{\underline{v}}^{1-\sigma_g} g(F(\underline{v})).$$

This implies that $\lambda_{\underline{u}}^{1-\sigma_g} = \lambda_{\underline{u}+\underline{v}}^{1-\sigma_g} = \lambda_{\underline{v}}^{1-\sigma_g}$, i.e., since $Fix(\sigma_g) = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\lambda_{\underline{u}}/\lambda_{\underline{v}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

On the other hand, if $\underline{u}$ and $\underline{v}$ are $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$–dependent, choosing a vector $\underline{w} \in U_1$, such that $\underline{w} \notin \langle \underline{u}\rangle$, and arguing as above we get $\lambda_{\underline{u}}/\lambda_{\underline{v}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
This means that for each $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in U_1$ there exists an element $\beta_{\underline{u},\underline{v}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\lambda_{\underline{u}} = \beta_{\underline{u},\underline{v}}\lambda_{\underline{v}}$.

Let $\underline{u} \in U_1$, $\underline{u} \neq \underline{0}$. Then, taking into account Equation (5), we get

$$F(f(\alpha\underline{u})) = \alpha^{\sigma_f\tau}\lambda_{\underline{u}}^{1-\sigma_g} g(F(\underline{u})) \tag{6}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. On the other hand, using again Equation (5), for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ we have

$$F(f(\alpha\underline{u})) = \lambda_{\alpha\underline{u}}^{1-\sigma_g}\alpha^{\tau\sigma_g} g(F(\underline{u})), \tag{7}$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha\underline{u}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Since $\lambda_{\underline{u}}/\lambda_{\alpha\underline{u}} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $\lambda_{\alpha\underline{u}}^{1-\sigma_g} = \lambda_{\underline{u}}^{1-\sigma_g}$. Taking into account this fact, by Equations (6) and (7) we get $\alpha^{\sigma_f\tau} = \alpha^{\tau\sigma_g}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, which implies $\sigma_f = \sigma_g$.

In the second case we have $F(U_1) = U_2$ and $F(U_2) = U_1$ and arguing as in the previous case we get $\sigma_g = \sigma_f^{-1}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sigma_f = \sigma_g$ and let ϕ_F be the collineation of Λ defined by the map F of the vector space $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$ defined as follows

$$F(\underline{u}_1 + \underline{u}_2) = \underline{u}_1 + g(f^{-1}(\underline{u}_2)),$$

where $\underline{u}_1 \in U_1$ and $\underline{u}_2 \in U_2$. Then $\phi_F(L_{1,f}) = L_{1,g}$. On the other hand, if $\sigma_f = \sigma_g^{-1}$, the collineation ϕ_F of Λ defined by the following map F of $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$

$$F(\underline{u}_1 + \underline{u}_2) = g(\underline{u}_1) + f^{-1}(\underline{u}_2)$$

sends $L_{1,f}$ to $L_{1,g}$. This concludes the proof. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.7 we have the following

Corollary 3.8. *In the projective space* $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t)$ $(n \geq 2,\ t \geq 3)$ *there are* $\varphi(t)/2$ *orbits of scattered* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear sets of* Λ *of rank* tn *of type* $L_{\rho,f}$ *under the action of the collineation group of* Λ.

Proof. By the previous theorem, two linear sets $L_{\rho,f}$ and $L_{\rho,g}$ are $P\Gamma L$-equivalent if and only if either $\sigma_f = \sigma_g$ or $\sigma_f = \sigma_g^{-1}$. So the number of orbits of such $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets under the action of $P\Gamma L(2n, q^t)$ is $\chi/2$ where χ is the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-automorphisms σ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_q$. This means that χ is the number of generators of the group $Gal(\mathbb{F}_{q^t} : \mathbb{F}_q)$, i.e. $\chi = \varphi(t)$ is the number of positive integers less than t which are relatively prime to t. □

In what follows we will show that each scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type can be obtained as in Theorem 3.5. Let start by proving

Theorem 3.9. *Let* $\Sigma \simeq PG(tn-1, q)$ *be a subgeometry of* $\Sigma^* = PG(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(tn-1, q^t)$ *defined by the semilinear collineation* Ψ *of order* t *of* Σ^*. *Also, let* $\mathcal{D}$ *be a Desarguesian* $(t-1)$*-spread of* Σ *and denote by* Θ *a director subspace of* $\mathcal{D}$. *Then for each pair of integers* $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, ..., t-1\}$ *such that* $gcd(i_2 - i_1, t) = 1$, *the linear set obtained projecting* Σ *from the subspace* $\Gamma = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^i} : i \neq i_1, i_2 \rangle$ *is a scattered* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear set of type* $L_{\rho,f}$ *described in Theorem 3.5.*

Proof. Since $Fix(\Psi) = \Sigma$, the collineation Ψ is induced by an invertible strictly semilinear map $g : V \longrightarrow V$ of order t, with companion automorphism σ such that $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_q$. Since $\Theta = PG(U, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ is a director subspace of the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}$, we have that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Theta)$ and $\Sigma^* = \langle \Theta, \Theta^{\Psi}, ..., \Theta^{\Psi^{t-1}} \rangle$. Let $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, ..., t-1\}$ such that $gcd(i_2 - i_1, t) = 1$ and let $\Gamma = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^i} : i \neq i_1, i_2 \rangle$ and $\Lambda = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^{i_1}}, \Theta^{\Psi^{i_2}} \rangle$. Then $dim\,\Gamma = n(t-2) - 1$, $dim\,\Lambda = 2n - 1$, $\Gamma \cap \Lambda = \Gamma \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ and hence we can project the subgeometry Σ from the center Γ onto the axis

Λ. By Theorem 2.4, the projection $L = p_\Gamma(\Sigma)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of rank tn and $\langle L\rangle = \Lambda$. Also, it is easy to see that

$$\Sigma = Fix(\Psi) = \{\langle \underline{u} + g(\underline{u}) + g^2(\underline{u}) + \cdots + g^{t-1}(\underline{u})\rangle \,:\, \underline{u} \in U \setminus \{\underline{0}\}\},$$

and hence the projection of Σ from Γ into Λ is

$$L = p_\Gamma(\Sigma) = \{\langle g^{i_1}(\underline{u}) + g^{i_2}(\underline{u})\rangle \,:\, \underline{u} \in U \setminus \{\underline{0}\}\} = \{\langle \underline{v} + f(\underline{v})\rangle \,:\, \underline{v} \in g^{i_1}(U) \setminus \{\underline{0}\}\},$$

where $f : \underline{v} \in g^{i_1}(U) \to g^{i_2-i_1}(\underline{v}) \in g^{i_2}(U)$. Since f is an invertible semilinear map whose companion authomorphism is $\sigma^{i_2-i_1}$ and $gcd(i_2 - i_1, t) = 1$, we have that $Fix(\sigma^{i_2-i_1}) = \mathbb{F}_q$. So, by Theorem 3.5, L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of pseudoregulus type with $\Theta^{\Psi^{i_1}}$ and $\Theta^{\Psi^{i_2}}$ as transversal spaces. $\square$

Remark 3.10. Note that, if $gcd(i_2 - i_1, t) = s$, then the linear set L obtained projecting Σ from $\Gamma = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^i} \,:\, i \neq i_1, i_2\rangle$, is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$-linear set.

Recall that, by Theorem 2.4, every $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set L of Λ spanning the whole space can be obtained projecting a subgeometry. If L is of pseudoregulus type we can prove what follows

Theorem 3.11. *Let L be a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of $\Lambda = PG(2n - 1, q^t)$ of pseudoregulus type with associated pseudoregulus $\mathcal{P}$ obtained by projecting in Λ a subgeometry $\Sigma = Fix(\Psi) \simeq PG(tn - 1, q)$ of $\Sigma^* = PG(tn - 1, q^t)$ from an $(n(t - 2) - 1)$–dimensional subspace Γ disjoint from Σ. Then*

(i) The set

$$\mathcal{D}_L = \{\langle \Gamma, s\rangle \cap \Sigma \,:\, s \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is a Desarguesian $(t - 1)$–spread of Σ.

(ii) There exists a director space $\bar{\Theta}$ of $\mathcal{D}_L$ such that

$$\Gamma = \langle \bar{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}^{\tau}, \ldots, \bar{\Theta}^{\tau^{t-3}}\rangle, \tag{8}$$

where $\tau = \Psi^m$ with $gcd(m, t) = 1$.

Proof. Since each line s of $\mathcal{P}$ has weight t in L, it is clear that $\langle \Gamma, s\rangle \cap \Sigma$ is a $(t-1)$-dimensional subspace of Σ. Also, since the lines of $\mathcal{P}$ are mutually disjoint and $|\mathcal{P}| = \frac{q^{nt}-1}{q^t-1}$, the set $\mathcal{D}_L$ in (i) is a $(t - 1)$-spread of Σ.

Denote by T_1 and T_2 the transversal spaces of $\mathcal{P}$ and let K_1 be the $(n(t-1)-1)$-dimensional subspace of Σ^* joining Γ and T_1. Since L is disjoint from T_1, we have that $K_1 \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, and hence $K_1 \cap K_1^{\Psi} \cap \cdots \cap K_1^{\Psi^{t-1}} = \emptyset$. So $\Theta = K_1 \cap K_1^{\Psi} \cap \cdots \cap K_1^{\Psi^{t-2}}$ is an $(n - 1)$-dimensional subspace of Σ^* and $\Sigma^* = \langle \Theta, \Theta^{\Psi}, \ldots, \Theta^{\Psi^{t-1}}\rangle$.

Now, for each line s of $\mathcal{P}$, let $X_s = \langle \Gamma, s\rangle \cap \Sigma$ be the corresponding element of the spread $\mathcal{D}_L$ and denote by X_s^* the $(t-1)$-dimensional subspace of Σ^* such that $X_s = X_s^* \cap \Sigma$, i.e. X_s^* is the $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$–extension of X_s in Σ^*. So $X_s^* \subset \langle \Gamma, s\rangle$ and since X_s^* intersects Σ in a subspace of the same dimension, we have that $(X_s^*)^\Psi = X_s^*$ (see [16, Lemma 1]). Also, let P be the point $s \cap T_1$. Then $\langle \Gamma, P\rangle$ is a hyperplane of $\langle \Gamma, s\rangle$ and hence $H_s = \langle \Gamma, P\rangle \cap X_s^*$ is a $(t-2)$-dimensional subspace of X_s^*. Since $H_s \subseteq K_1$, we have that H_s is disjoint from Σ and hence $H_s \cap H_s^\Psi \cap \cdots \cap H_s^{\Psi^{t-1}} = \emptyset$. So $H_s, H_s^\Psi, \dots, H_s^{\Psi^{t-1}}$ are t independent hyperplanes of X_s^*. This implies that $H_s \cap H_s^\Psi \cap \cdots \cap H_s^{\Psi^{t-2}}$ is a point, say R_s, of X_s^*. So

$$R_s \in X_s^* \cap (H_s \cap H_s^\Psi \cap \cdots \cap H_s^{\Psi^{t-2}}) \subset X_s^* \cap (K_1 \cap K_1^\Psi \cap \cdots \cap K_1^{\Psi^{t-2}}) = X_s^* \cap \Theta$$

for each $s \in \mathcal{P}$. By Remark 2.1 we get that $\mathcal{D}_L$ is a Desarguesian spread of Σ with Θ as a director space. Also, $\Theta^{\Psi^i} \subset K_1$ for each $i \neq 1$ and hence $K_1 = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^i} : i \neq 1\rangle$ and $K_1 \cap \Theta^\Psi = \emptyset$.

In the same way, if $K_2 = \langle \Gamma, T_2\rangle$, we get that $K_2 \cap K_2^\Psi \cap \cdots \cap K_2^{\Psi^{t-2}}$ is a director space of the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}_L$ and hence there exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t-1\}$ such that $K_2 \cap K_2^\Psi \cap \cdots \cap K_2^{\Psi^{t-2}} = \Theta^{\Psi^m}$ (see [15, Theorem 3]). So $\Theta^{\Psi^i} \subset K_2$ for each $i \neq m+1$ and hence $K_2 = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^i} : i \neq m+1\rangle$ and $K_2 \cap \Theta^{\Psi^{m+1}} = \emptyset$. This means that

$$\Gamma = K_1 \cap K_2 = \langle \Theta^{\Psi^i} : i \neq 1, m+1\rangle.$$

So, if $\Psi^m = \tau$ and $\bar{\Theta} = \Theta^{\Psi^{2m+1}}$, we get (8) of *(ii)*. Finally, since L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set, by Theorem 3.9, Remarks 3.10 and 2.3, we have that $gcd(t, m) = 1$. □

By Theorems 3.9 and 3.11 we have

Theorem 3.12. *Each $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of $PG(2n-1, q^t)$ of pseudoregulus type is one of the $L_{\rho,f}$ described in Theorem 3.5.*

Finally, by Theorem 3.12 and by Corollary 3.8 we can state the following classification result which generalizes [15, Theorem 4].

Theorem 3.13. *In the projective space $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t)$ ($n \geq 2$, $t \geq 3$) there are $\varphi(t)/2$* (3) *orbits of maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of pseudoregulus type under the action of the collineation group of Λ.*

4 A class of maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of $PG(1, q^t)$

The arguments proving Theorem 3.5 can be exploited to construct a class of maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of the projective line $\Lambda = PG(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(1, q^t)$ with a structure resembling that of an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of $PG(2n-1, q^t)$ ($n, t \geq 2$) of pseudoregulus type. To this aim let $P_1 = \langle \underline{w}\rangle$

---

[3] φ denotes the Euler's phi function

and $P_2 = \langle \underline{v} \rangle$ be two distinct points of Λ and let τ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $Fix(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_q$; then for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ the set

$$W_{\rho,\tau} = \{\lambda \underline{w} + \rho \lambda^\tau \underline{v} \ : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\},$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–vector subspace of V of dimension t and $L_{\rho,\tau} := L_{W_{\rho,\tau}}$ is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ.

Definition 4.1. We call the linear sets $L_{\rho,\tau}$ of *pseudoregulus type* and we refer to the points P_1 and P_2 as *transversal points* of $L_{\rho,\tau}$.

Also in this case, if $L_{\rho,\tau} \cap L_{\rho',\tau} \neq \emptyset$, then $L_{\rho,\tau} = L_{\rho',\tau}$ and this happens if and only if $N_{q^t/q}(\rho) = N_{q^t/q}(\rho')$; so P_1, P_2 and the automorphism τ define a set of $q-1$ mutually disjoint maximum scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type admitting the same transversal points and covering, together with P_1 and P_2, the pointset of the line $\Lambda = PG(1, q^t)$.

Remark 4.2. It is easy to see that for each $\rho, \rho' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ the linear sets $L_{\rho,\tau}$ and $L_{\rho',\tau}$ are projectively equivalent. Also, if $t = 2$, then $L_{\rho,\tau}$ is a Baer subline of $\Lambda = PG(1, q^2)$ and P_1 and P_2 are conjugated with respect to the semilinear involution of Λ fixing $L_{\rho,\tau}$ pointwise.

In what follows we show that, if $t \geq 3$, the transversal points of an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of the $PG(1, q^t)$ are uniquely determined.

To see this, we first prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\Sigma \simeq PG(t-1, q)$ be a subgeometry of $\Sigma^* = PG(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(t-1, q^t)$ defined by the semilinear collineation Ψ of order $t \geq 3$ of Σ^*. Also, let P be a point of Σ^* such that $\Sigma^* = \langle P, P^\Psi, P^{\Psi^2}, \ldots, P^{\Psi^{t-1}} \rangle$. Then for each pair of integers $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, ..., t-1\}$ such that $gcd(i_2 - i_1, t) = 1$, the linear set obtained projecting Σ from the subspace $\Gamma = \langle P^{\Psi^i} \ : \ i \neq i_1, i_2 \rangle$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type, and conversely.*

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.9,we get that $L = p_\Gamma(\Sigma)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of rank t and $\langle L \rangle = \Lambda$, where $\Gamma = \langle P^{\Psi^i} \ : \ i \neq i_1, i_2 \rangle$ and $\Lambda = \langle P^{\Psi^{i_1}}, P^{\Psi^{i_2}} \rangle$. Also, if $P = \langle \underline{u} \rangle$, it is easy to see that

$$\Sigma = Fix(\Psi) = \{\langle \lambda \underline{u} + \lambda^\sigma g(\underline{u}) + \lambda^{\sigma^2} g^2(\underline{u}) + \cdots + \lambda^{\sigma^{t-1}} g^{t-1}(\underline{u}) \rangle \ : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\},$$

and hence the projection of Σ from Γ into Λ is

$$L = p_\Gamma(\Sigma) = \{\langle \lambda^{\sigma^{i_1}} g^{i_1}(\underline{u}) + \lambda^{\sigma^{i_2}} g^{i_2}(\underline{u}) \rangle \ : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\} = \{\langle \mu \underline{w} + \mu^\tau g^{i_2 - i_1}(\underline{w})) \rangle \ : \ \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\},$$

where $\underline{w} = g^{i_1}(\underline{u})$ and $\tau = \sigma^{i_2 - i_1}$. Since $gcd(i_2 - i_1, t) = 1$, we have that $Fix(\tau) = \mathbb{F}_q$. So, by Definition 4.1, L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of pseudoregulus type with $P^{\Psi^{i_1}} = \langle \underline{w} \rangle$ and $P^{\Psi^{i_2}} = \langle g^{i_2 - i_1}(\underline{w}) \rangle$ as transversal points.

Conversely, let $L_{\rho,\tau}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of $\Lambda = PG(1, q^t)$ of pseudoregulus type with transversal points P_1 and P_2. By Remark 4.2 and by Theorem 2.5, we may suppose that $\rho = 1$.

Let $\tau = q^m$, with $gcd(m,t) = 1$, and embed $\Lambda = PG(1,q^t)$ in a $(t-1)$-dimensional space $\Sigma^* = PG(t-1,q^t)$ in such a way that Λ has equations $x_i = 0$ for $i \in \{0,1,\dots,t-1\}$, $i \neq 0,m$ and P_1 and P_2 have coordinates $\langle(1,0,\dots,0)\rangle$ and $\langle(0,\dots,1,\dots,0)\rangle$, respectively, i.e. the points of $L_{1,\tau}$ have coordinates $\langle(\lambda,0,0,...,0,\lambda^{q^m},0,\dots,0)\rangle$. Let Σ be the subgeometry of Σ^* defined by the following semilinear collineation of order t

$$\Psi : \langle(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_{t-1})\rangle \longrightarrow \langle(x_{t-1}^q, x_0^q,\dots,x_{t-2}^q)\rangle$$

and let Γ be the $(t-3)$-dimensional subspace of Σ^* with equations $x_0 = x_m = 0$. Then the points of Σ are the points with coordinates $(x, x^q, x^{q^2},\dots,x^{q^{t-1}})$ and $L_{1,\tau}$ is the projection of Σ from Γ to Λ. Also, $\Gamma = \langle P_1^{\Psi^i} : i \neq 0,m\rangle$ and $\Lambda = \langle P_1, P_1^{\Psi^m}\rangle$, where $P_1^{\Psi^m} = P_2$. □

Remark 4.4. Note that, with the notation of the previous theorem, if $\Gamma = \langle P^{\omega^i} : i \neq 0,1\rangle$, where $\Sigma^* = \langle P, P^{\omega}, P^{\omega^2},\dots,P^{\omega^{t-1}}\rangle$ and $\Sigma = Fix(\omega)$, then

a) $\Gamma \neq \Gamma^{\omega^j}$ for each $j \in \{1,\dots,t-1\}$;

b) $dim\,(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\omega^j}) = t-4$ when $j \in \{1,t-1\}$ and $dim\,(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\omega^j}) = t-5$ if $j \neq 0,1,t-1$.

Corollary 4.5. *If L is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of $\Lambda = PG(1,q^t)$, $t \geq 3$, then its transversal points are uniquely determined.*

Proof. By the previous result we may assume that L is the projection of $\Sigma = Fix(\Psi)$ from $\Gamma = \langle P^{\Psi^i} : i \neq 0,m\rangle$ to $\Lambda = \langle P, P^{\Psi^m}\rangle$ where P and P^{Ψ^m} are transversal points of L. Set $\omega = \Psi^m$, then $\Gamma = \langle P^{\omega^i} : i \neq 0,1\rangle$ and $\Lambda = \langle P, P^{\omega}\rangle$. Let $H = \langle\Gamma, P\rangle$ and $K = \langle\Gamma, P^{\omega}\rangle$, then $K^{\omega} = H$. Now, we prove that K and K^{ω} are the unique hyperplanes of Σ^* containing Γ which are conjugated under a power of the collineation ω. So, suppose that K' is a hyperplane of Σ^* containing Γ such that K'^{ω^j} also contains Γ, for some $j \in \{1,\dots,t-1\}$. Then, $\Gamma \subseteq K' \cap K'^{\omega^j}$ and hence Γ and Γ^{ω^j} are contained in K'^{ω^j}. By *a)* of Remark 4.4, Γ and Γ^{ω^j} are two different hyperplanes of K'^{ω^j} and hence $dim(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\omega^j}) = t-4$. Then, by *b)* of Remark 4.4, either $j = 1$ or $j = t-1$.

Now, if $j = 1$ then $K = K'$, otherwise $\Gamma = K^{\omega} \cap K'^{\omega} = (K \cap K')^{\omega} = \Gamma^{\omega}$ contradicting *a)* of Remark 4.4. On the other hand, if $j = t-1$, then arguing as above we get $K' = K^{\omega}$.

Now, by Remark 2.6, the linear set L is projectively equivalent to the $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set $\{P + \Gamma : P \in \Sigma\}$ contained in the quotient space $S_\Gamma = \Sigma^*/\Gamma$. In this setting, transversal points of L correspond to hyperplanes of Σ^* containing Γ which are conjugated under a power of ω, where $\Sigma = Fix(\omega)$. Then by Theorem 2.5 and by the previous arguments we get that the transversal points of L are uniquely determined. □

Now we are able to classify the linear sets of pseudoregulus type of the projective line $PG(1,q^t)$.

Theorem 4.6. *In $\Lambda = PG(1,q^t)$ $(t \geq 3)$ there are $\varphi(t)/2$ orbits of $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of pseudoregulus type under the action of the collineation group of Λ.*

Proof. Since the collineation group of Λ acts 2-transitively on the points of Λ, by Corollary 4.5, we may just consider $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of pseudoregulus type having the same transversal points P_1 and P_2. Now, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we get that $L_{\rho,\sigma}$ and $L_{\rho',\omega}$ are projectively equivalent if and only if $\sigma = \omega^{\pm 1}$. From this, the assertion follows. $\square$

Remark 4.7. By Remark 4.2, each $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of pseudoregulus type of the line $\Lambda = PG(1, q^t)$ is projectively equivalent to

$$L_{1,m} = \{\langle (x, x^{q^m}) \rangle : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}, \tag{9}$$

for some $m \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ with $gcd(m, t) = 1$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.6, $L_{1,m}$ and $L_{1,m'}$ are projectively equivalent if and only if either $m' = m$ or $m' = t - m$.
Also, let $L_{\rho,f}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of $PG(2n-1, q^t)$, $n > 1$, and let $\mathcal{P}_{L_{\rho,f}}$ be the associated $\mathbb{F}_q$-pseudoregulus. Taking into account (3) and Definition 4.1, we observe that for each line $s \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,f}$, the set $L_{\rho,f} \cap s$ is a linear set of pseudoregulus type whose transversal points are the intersections of s with the transversal subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_{L_{\rho,f}}$.

Example 4.8. We end this section by showing examples of maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets which are not of pseudoregulus type. Let

$$L = \{\langle (x, \rho x^q + x^{q^{t-1}}) \rangle : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\},$$

where $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $N_{q^t/q}(\rho) \neq 1$. By [18, Theorem 2] L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of rank t. Moreover, a slight generalization of the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3 of [18], leads to show that, if $\rho \neq 0$ and $t \geq 4$, L is not projectively equivalent to any scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of the shape (9). Hence, L is a maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set which is not of pseudoregulus type.
Now, let

$$L = \{\langle (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \rho x_0^q + x_0^{q^{t-1}}, x_1^q, \dots, x_{n-1}^q) \rangle : x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\},$$

with $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ and $N_{q^t/q}(\rho) \neq 1$. It is easy to see that L is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of rank tn. Also, the line r with equations $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 0$ is a line of weight t in L and, by the previous arguments $r \cap L$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set which is not of pseudoregulus type. So by Remark 4.7, for each $t \geq 4$ and $n \geq 2$, L is not of pseudoregulus type.

5 Linear sets and the variety $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$

Let $\mathbb{E} = End(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be the n^2-dimensional vector space of the endomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The Segre Variety $\mathcal{S}_{n,n} = \mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q)$ of the projective space $\mathbb{P} = PG(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}_q) = PG(n^2-1, q)$ is the algebraic variety defined by the elements of $\mathbb{E}$ of rank 1 and the $(n-2)$-secant variety $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}) = \Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q))$ of $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ is the hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}$ (also called *determinantal hypersurface*) defined by the non-invertible elements of $\mathbb{E}$.

If $t_\alpha : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \mapsto \alpha x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and $Tr : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \mapsto x + x^q + \dots + x^{q^{n-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,n} = \{\langle t_\lambda \circ Tr \circ t_\mu \rangle : \ \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\}. \quad ^{(4)}$$

Moreover, given $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, the $(n-1)$–dimensional projective subspaces of $\mathbb{P}$

$$X(\lambda) = \{\langle t_\alpha \circ Tr \circ t_\lambda \rangle : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\},$$

$$X'(\lambda) = \{\langle t_\lambda \circ Tr \circ t_\alpha \rangle : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\}$$

are the maximal subspaces contained in $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n,n} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} X(\lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} X'(\lambda)$. The sets $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{X(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \{X'(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\}$ are the *systems* of $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ and they satisfy the following properties: (a) the subspaces of $\mathcal{R}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_2$) are mutually disjoint; (b) if $X(\mu)$ and $X'(\lambda)$ are $(n-1)$–dimensional subspaces belonging to different systems of $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$, then $X(\mu) \cap X'(\lambda) = \{\langle t_\lambda \circ Tr \circ t_\mu \rangle\}$ is a point; (c) each point of $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ belongs to a unique element of $\mathcal{R}_1$ and to a unique element of $\mathcal{R}_2$ (see, e.g., [8, Section 25.5] for further details).

For each $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}$, where $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i x^{q^i}$, the *conjugate* $\overline{\varphi}$ of φ is defined by $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i^{q^{n-i}} x^{q^{n-i}}$. Precisely, $\bar{\varphi}$ is the *adjoint* map of φ with respect to the non–degenerate bilinear form of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = tr_{q^n/q}(xy). \tag{10}$$

The map

$$T \colon \varphi \in \mathbb{E} \mapsto \overline{\varphi} \in \mathbb{E},$$

is an involutory $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear permutation of $\mathbb{E}$ and straightforward computations show that

$$\overline{\varphi \circ \psi} = \overline{\psi} \circ \overline{\varphi}, \qquad \overline{\varphi^{-1}} = (\overline{\varphi})^{-1} \qquad \text{for each } \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{E}; \tag{11}$$

$$\overline{t_\lambda} = t_\lambda \qquad \text{for each } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}. \tag{12}$$

Moreover, it can be easily checked that $ker\,\varphi = (Im\,\overline{\varphi})^\perp$, where $\perp$ is the polarity defined by (10), and hence $dim\,(ker\,\varphi) = dim\,(ker\,\overline{\varphi})$. Then T induces in $\mathbb{P}$ a linear involutory collineation Φ_T preserving the varieties $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ and $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$ and interchanging the systems $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ of $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$. Indeed, we have

$$X(\mu)^{\Phi_T} = X'(\mu) \qquad \text{for each } \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*. \tag{13}$$

The subgroup $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$ of $P\Gamma L(n^2, q)$ fixing the systems $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ of $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$ is isomorphic to $P\Gamma L(n,q) \times P\Gamma L(n,q)$, and such a group has index 2 in the group $G(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}) = Aut(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}) = Aut(\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}))$ (see [8, §25.5]).

Let $\mathcal{I} := \{\langle t_\lambda \rangle : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}$ is an $(n-1)$–dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{P}$ disjoint from the variety $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}) = \{\{\langle t_\alpha \circ \varphi \rangle : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\} : \ \varphi \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$$

---

[4] $\circ$ stands for composition of maps

and

$$\mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{I}) = \{\{\langle \varphi \circ t_\alpha \rangle :\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\} :\ \varphi \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$$

are two Desarguesian spreads of $\mathbb{P}$ (see, e.g., [3, Example 3 and Theorem 14]), both containing $\mathcal{I}$ and such that $\mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I})$, $\mathcal{R}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{I})$. We explicitly note that Φ_T fixes $\mathcal{I}$ pointwise and $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I})^{\Phi_T} = \mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{I})$.

Let $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}))$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear representation of the projective space $PG(n-1, q^n)$ defined by the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I})$ of $\mathbb{P}$. Let Υ_1 be the linear collineation of $\mathbb{P}$ defined as

$$\Upsilon_1 :\ \langle \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{P} \mapsto \langle \varphi' \rangle \in \mathbb{P},$$

where $\varphi'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i-1}^q x^{q^i}$ if $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{q^i}$, taking the indices i modulo n. Such a collineation fixes the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I})$ and induces a collineation $\bar{\Upsilon}_1$ in $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}))$ of order n whose fixed pointset consists of the elements of $\mathcal{R}_1$. Hence, $\mathcal{R}_1$ turns out to be a subgeometry of $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}))$ isomorphic to $PG(n-1, q)$. We explicitly note that

$$\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^j} = \{\langle x \mapsto \lambda x^{q^j} \rangle :\ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^{n-1}} \rangle = \mathbb{P}. \tag{14}$$

So $\mathcal{I}$, in $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}))$, is a point whose orbit under the action of the cyclic group $\langle \bar{\Upsilon}_1 \rangle$ has maximum size n.

In the same way, the collineation $\Upsilon_2 = \Phi_T^{-1} \circ \Upsilon_1 \circ \Phi_T$ fixes the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{I})$ and induces a collineation $\bar{\Upsilon}_2$ in $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_2(\mathcal{I}))$ of order n whose fixed pointset consists of the elements of $\mathcal{R}_2$. Also, $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_2^i} = \mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^{n-i}}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$ be the orbit, under the action of the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$, of the $(n-1)$–dimensional subspace $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathbb{P}$. A subspace belonging to such an orbit will be called a *$\mathcal{D}$–subspace* of $\mathbb{P}$. In the following we will study the geometric properties of the $\mathcal{D}$–subspaces of $\mathbb{P}$ under the action of $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$.

Let X be a $\mathcal{D}$–subspace of $\mathbb{P}$. From the previous arguments it follows that there exist two Desarguesian spreads $\mathcal{D}_1(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_2(X)$ of $\mathbb{P}$ both containing X such that for each $i = 1, 2$:

- $D1)$ $\mathcal{R}_i \subset \mathcal{D}_i(X)$,
- $D2)$ there exists a semilinear collineation $\bar{\Xi}_i$ of $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_i(X))$ induced by a linear collineation Ξ_i of order n in $\mathbb{P}$ fixing the Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{D}_i(X)$. Moreover, $R_i = Fix\,\bar{\Xi}_i$ is a subgeometry of $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_i(X))$ isomorphic to a $PG(n-1, q)$.

Also, starting from Φ_T and taking into account the previous arguments, we have that there is an involutory collineation Φ of $\mathbb{P}$ such that

- $D3)$ Φ fixes pointwise X,
- $D4)$ $\mathcal{D}_1(X)^{\Phi} = \mathcal{D}_2(X)$.

This allows us to give the following

Definition 5.1. Let X be a $\mathcal{D}$–subspace of $\mathbf{b}$ and let Ξ_i $(i \in \{1,2\})$ be one of the two collineations of $\mathbb{P}$ described in $D2$). Each of the $\mathcal{D}$–subspaces $X^{\Xi_i^j}$, with $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$, is said to be a *conjugate* of X. Note that $X^{\Xi_2^j} = X^{\Xi_1^{n-j}}$, so X admits exactly n conjugates.

Remark 5.2. If $n = 2$, then $\mathbb{E} = End(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2,2}(q)$ is the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(3,q)$ of $\mathbb{P} = PG(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}_q) = PG(3,q)$ defined by the quadratic form

$$\varphi \in \mathbb{E} \mapsto a^{q+1} - b^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q,$$

where $\varphi(x) = ax + bx^q$. Hence, the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{2,2})$ is the subgroup of the orthogonal group $P\Gamma O^+(4,q)$ fixing the reguli of $Q^+(3,q)$. Also, the $H(\mathcal{S}_{2,2})$–orbit of the line $\mathcal{I}$, is the set of all external lines to the quadric. Moreover, the involutory linear collineation Υ_1 of $\mathbb{P}$ described above is

$$\langle x \mapsto ax + bx^q \rangle \longmapsto \langle x \mapsto b^q x + a^q x^q \rangle.$$

This means that the conjugate of $\mathcal{I}$ is the line $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1} = \{\langle x \mapsto \mu x^q \rangle : \mu \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^2}\}$, which is the polar line of $\mathcal{I}$ with respect to the quadric $Q^+(3,q)$.

5.1 Linear sets and presemifields

A finite semifield is a finite division algebra which is not necessarily associative and throughout this paper the term semifield will always be used to denote a finite semifield (see, e.g., [13] Chapter 6 for definitions and notations on finite semifields). Every field is a semifield and the term *proper semifield* will mean a semifield which is not a field. The left nucleus $\mathbb{N}_l$ and the center $\mathbb{K}$ of a semifield $\mathbb{S}$ are fields contained in $\mathbb{S}$ as substructures ($\mathbb{K}$ subfield of $\mathbb{N}_l$) and $\mathbb{S}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{N}_l$ and over $\mathbb{K}$. Semifields are studied up to an equivalence relation called *isotopy* and the dimensions of a semifield over its left nucleus and over its center are invariant up to isotopy.

Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a semifield with center $\mathbb{K}$ and left nucleus $\mathbb{N}_l$ and let $(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ be a pair of fields such that $\mathbb{F}_q \leq \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^t} \leq \mathbb{N}_l$; then $\mathbb{S}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and hence it has size q^{nt} for some integer $n \geq 1$. If $\mathbb{S}$ is a proper semifield, then $n \geq 2$. For each given pair of subfields described above, we can associate with $\mathbb{S}$ an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of rank nt of the projective space $\mathbb{P} = PG(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(n^2-1, q^t)$ (where $\mathbb{E} = End(\mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$) disjoint from the variety $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q^t))$ of $\mathbb{P}$ defined by the non-invertible elements of $\mathbb{E}$. Isotopic semifields produce in $\mathbb{P} = PG(n^2-1, q^t)$ linear sets which are equivalent with respect to the action of the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q^t))$, and conversely (see [17] for $n = 2$ and [11] for $n \geq 2$). The pair $(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{N}_l)$ have the following properties: (i) maximizes the field of linearity of the linear set associated with $\mathbb{S}$, (ii) minimizes the dimension of the projective space $\mathbb{P}$ in which the linear set is embedded and (iii) minimizes the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$. In what follows we call such a linear set the *relevant* linear set associated with $\mathbb{S}$. For instance, if $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{F}_q$, then $\mathbb{N}_l = \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ and hence the relevant linear set associated with the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the point $PG(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q)$; while, if $\mathbb{F}_{q'}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$, $q = q'^n$,

then the linear set associated with $\mathbb{F}_q$ with respect to the pair $(\mathbb{F}_{q'}, \mathbb{F}_{q'})$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{P} = PG(n^2-1, q')$ disjoint from the variety $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q'))$ of $\mathbb{P}$ which is a $\mathcal{D}$-subspace of $\mathbb{P}$, and conversely (see [10, Theorem 20]).
In the next sections we will characterize, up to the action of the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n})$, the relevant linear sets associated with some classical semifields: the Generalized Twisted Fields and the Knuth semifields 2-dimensional over their left nucleus.

5.2 Generalized Twisted Fields

If $\mathbb{S}$ satisfies all the axioms for a semifield except, possibly, the existence of the identity element for the multiplication, then it is a *presemifield*. In such a case the nuclei and the center of $\mathbb{S}$ are defined as fields of linear maps contained in $End(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (where p is the characteristic of $\mathbb{S}$) (see, e.g., [20, Theorem 2.2]) and all that we stated and defined above for semifields can be applied to presemifields.

The Generalized Twisted Fields are presemifields constructed by A.A. Albert in [2]. By [1, Lemma 1] a Generalized Twisted Field $\mathbb{G}$ with center of order q, n-dimensional over its left nucleus ($n \geq 2$) and tn-dimensional over its center is of type $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}, +, \star)$ ($q = p^e$, p prime) with

$$x \star y = yx - cy^{q^m} x^{q^{tl}}, \tag{15}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^{nt}}$, $c \neq x^{q^{tl}-1} y^{q^m-1}$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}$, and $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, $1 \leq m \leq nt-1$, $m \neq tl$. Since we required $dim_{\mathbb{K}}\mathbb{G} = nt$ and $dim_{\mathbb{N}_l}\mathbb{G} = n$, we also have $gcd(l, n) = gcd(t, m) = 1$. From the previous conditions we get $q > 2$ and, if $t = 1$, then $n \geq 3$. In terms of linear maps, by [20, Theorem 2.2] and by [1, Lemma 1] we can describe the left nucleus and the center of $\mathbb{G}$ as follows

$$\mathbb{N}_l = \{t_\lambda : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \ \rightarrow \ \lambda x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \,|\, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\} \subset \mathbb{E},$$

$$\mathbb{K} = \{t_\lambda : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \ \rightarrow \ \lambda x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \,|\, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\} \subset \mathbb{E},$$

where $\mathbb{E} = End(\mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = V(n^2, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. The *spread set* associated with $\mathbb{G}$ is

$$\mathbb{C} = \{\varphi_y : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \ \rightarrow \ x \star y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \,|\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\} \subset \mathbb{E}$$

and it is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{E}$ of dimension nt. Hence $\mathbb{C}$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of rank nt in the projective space $\mathbb{P} = PG(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(n^2-1, q^t)$; precisely

$$L(\mathbb{G}) = L_{\mathbb{C}} = \{\langle \varphi_y \rangle \ : \ y \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^{nt}}\},$$

which is the relevant linear set associated with $\mathbb{G}$. Since the nonzero elements of $\mathbb{C}$ are invertible, $L(\mathbb{G})$ is disjoint from the variety $\Omega(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q^t))$ of $\mathbb{P}$ defined by the non-invertible elements of $\mathbb{E}$.

By (15) it is clear that $L(\mathbb{G})$ is contained in the subspace $\Lambda = PG(2n-1, q^t)$ of $\mathbb{P}$ joining the $\mathcal{D}$-space $\mathcal{I} = \{\langle t_\lambda \rangle \,:\, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^{nt}}\}$ and its conjugate $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l} = \{\langle x \mapsto \lambda x^{q^{tl}} \rangle \,:\, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^{nt}}\}$, precisely

$$\Lambda = \{\langle x \to Ax + Bx^{q^{lt}} \rangle \,:\, A, B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\}.$$

Note that Λ defines a line $PG(1, q^{nt})$ in the $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-linear representation $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}))$. Also, since $gcd(t, m) = 1$, it is easy to verify that, if $t \geq 2$, then $L(\mathbb{G})$ is a maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ and, hence, $\Lambda = \langle L(\mathbb{G}) \rangle$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}, +, \star)$ be a Generalized Twisted Field n-dimensional over its left nucleus and tn-dimensional over its center.*
(a) *If $t = 1$, then $L(\mathbb{G})$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{P} = PG(n^2-1, q)$ contained in Λ and in the linear representation $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I})) \simeq PG(n-1, q^n)$, $L(\mathbb{G})$ induces an $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-linear set of pseudoregulus type with transversal points $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$.*
(b) *If $t \geq 2$, then $L(\mathbb{G})$ is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of rank tn of pseudoregulus type of Λ with $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$ as transversal spaces.*

Proof. **(a)** If $t = 1$, then $\mathbb{C}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{E} = End(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, \mathbb{F}_q)$; i.e. $L(\mathbb{G})$ is just an $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{P}$ contained in Λ. Note that the map

$$\Phi : \{\langle t_\lambda \circ \varphi \rangle \,:\, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^n}\} \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}) \longrightarrow \langle (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \rangle \in PG(n-1, q^n)$$

where $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{q^i}$ is a linear collineation between $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{I}))$ and $PG(n-1, q^n)$ such that $\mathcal{I}^\Phi = \langle (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l \Phi} = \langle (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \rangle$ and Λ^Φ is the line of $PG(n-1, q^n)$ with equations $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0, l$. Also $L(\mathbb{G})^\Phi = \{\langle (y, 0, 0, \ldots, -cy^{q^m}, 0, \ldots, 0) \rangle \,:\, y \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^n}\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set contained in the line Λ^Φ of $PG(n-1, q^n)$. By Definition 4.1, $L(\mathbb{G})^\Phi$ is a maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of Λ^Φ, with transversal points $\mathcal{I}^\Phi$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l \Phi}$. This proves **(a)**.
(b) If $t \geq 2$, then the collineation

$$\Phi_f : \langle t_y : x \to yx \rangle \in \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \langle f(t_y) : x \to -cy^{q^m} x^{q^{lt}} \rangle \in \mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l},$$

is a semilinear collineation between $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$ with companion automorphism $\sigma : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \to \alpha^{q^m} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ and, since $gcd(t, m) = 1$, $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence, by Theorem 3.5, $L_{W_{1,f}}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of Λ of pseudoregulus type with transversal spaces $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$, and since

$$W_{1,f} = \{t_y + f(t_y) \,:\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\} = \{x \to yx - cy^{q^m} x^{q^{tl}} \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\} = \mathbb{C},$$

we get Point **(b)**. ☐

Now, we will prove that the properties of $L(\mathbb{G})$ described in Proposition 5.3 completely characterize, up to isotopy, the Generalized Twisted Fields.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a presemifield of order q^{nt} with $\mathbb{F}_q$ contained in its center and $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ contained in its left nucleus and let $L(\mathbb{S})$ be the associated linear set with respect to the pair $(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. Also, assume that $L(\mathbb{S})$ is contained in a $(2n-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{P} = PG(n^2-1, q^t)$ joining two conjugated $\mathcal{D}$-spaces X and X' of $\mathbb{P}$. If one of the following holds true*
(a) $t = 1$ and $L(\mathbb{S})$ induces, in the linear representation $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(X)) \simeq PG(n-1, q^n)$, an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of the line $PG(1, q^n)$ of $\Pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}_1(X))$ joining the points X and X', with transversal points X and X';
(b) $t \geq 2$ and $L(\mathbb{S})$ is a maximum scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of $\langle X, X' \rangle$ with X and X' as transversal spaces, then $\mathbb{S}$ is isotopic to a Generalized Twisted Field.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}, +, *)$ with $\mathbb{F}_q$ contained in $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ contained in $\mathbb{N}_l$. Let $\mathbb{E} = End(\mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and let $\mathbb{C} = \{\varphi_y : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \to x * y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \,|\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\}$ be the spread set defined by $\mathbb{S}$. Recall that the study of $\mathbb{S}$, up to isotopy, corresponds to the study of the associated linear set $L(\mathbb{S}) = L_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P} = PG(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(n^2-1, q^t)$, up to the action of the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q^t))$. Since all the $\mathcal{D}$-spaces of $\mathbb{P}$ belong to the same $H(\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(q^t))$-orbit, we may assume, up to isotopy, that $X = \mathcal{I}$, so $X' = \mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$ for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ (see (14)).
(a) By Definition 4.1 $L(\mathbb{S}) = L_{\rho,\tau}$ where $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ and $\tau : x \mapsto x^{q^m}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that $gcd(m, n) = 1$. This implies that

$$\mathbb{C} = \{\varphi_y : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \to xy + \rho y^{q^m} x^{q^l} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \,|\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

Hence $x * y = xy - cy^{q^m} x^{q^l}$ where $c = -\rho$, i.e. $\mathbb{S}$, up to isotopy, is a Generalized Twisted Field.
(b) By Theorem 3.12, $L(\mathbb{S})$ is of type $L_{\rho,f}$ with transversal spaces $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$. Hence, there exist a semilinear collineation

$$\Phi_f : \langle t_y \rangle \in \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \langle f(t_y) \rangle \in \mathcal{I}^{\Upsilon_1^l}$$

with companion automorphism $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ such that $Fix(\sigma) = \mathbb{F}_q$ and an element $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}^*$ such that

$$\mathbb{C} = \{t_y + \rho f(t_y) \,:\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\}.$$

This implies that

$$f(t_y) : x \to \eta y^{q^m} x^{q^{tl}},$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}^*$, $1 \leq m \leq nt-1$ and $gcd(t, m) = 1$. Hence, putting $c = -\eta\rho$, we have

$$\mathbb{C} = \{\varphi_y : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \to xy - cy^{q^m} x^{q^{tl}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}} \,|\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{nt}}\},$$

this means that $x * y = xy - cy^{q^m} x^{q^{tl}}$, and hence $\mathbb{S}$, up to isotopy, is a Generalized Twisted Field. $\square$

Note that if $n = 2$, then $t \geq 2$ and in such a case from Remark 5.2, Theorem 5.4 can be stated in the following form which generalizes [5, Theorems 4.3, 3.7] and [21, Theorems 4.12, 4.13].

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a presemifield of order q^{2t} with center $\mathbb{F}_q$ and left nucleus $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. If $L(\mathbb{S})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of $PG(3, q^t)$ of pseudoregulus type with transversal lines external to the quadric $\mathcal{S}_{2,2} = Q^+(3, q^t)$ pairwise polar with respect to the polarity defined by $Q^+(3, q^t)$, then $\mathbb{S}$ is isotopic to a Generalized Twisted Field.*

5.3 2–dimensional Knuth Semifiels

The Knuth semifields 2–dimensional over the left nucleus and $2t$–dimensional ($t \geq 2$) over the center $\mathbb{F}_q$ are the following:

$\mathbb{K}_{17} = (\mathbb{F}_{q^t} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^t}, +, *)$ and $\mathbb{K}_{19} = (\mathbb{F}_{q^t} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^t}, +, \star)$ (see [6, p. 241 (Multiplications (17) and (19))]), with

$$(u, v) * (x, y) = (u, v) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ fy^\sigma & x^\sigma + y^\sigma g \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(u, v) \star (x, y) = (u, v) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ fy^{\sigma^{-1}} & x^\sigma + yg \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, $Fix\, \sigma = \mathbb{F}_q$, and f and g are non–zero elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that the polynomial $x^{q+1} + gx - f$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$.

The spread sets (of matrices) associated with $\mathbb{K}_{17}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{19}$ are

$$\mathbb{C}_{17} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ fy^\sigma & x^\sigma + y^\sigma g \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \right\} \subset \mathbb{M}$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_{19} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ fy^{\sigma^{-1}} & x^\sigma + yg \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \right\} \subset \mathbb{M},$$

respectively, where $\mathbb{M} = V(4, q^t)$ is the vector space of the 2×2–matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$.

The sets $\mathbb{C}_{17}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{19}$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of $\mathbb{M}$ of dimension $2t$ and hence they define $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of rank $2t$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P} = PG(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = PG(3, q^t)$. Precisely, using the coordinatization $\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$,

$$L(\mathbb{K}_{17}) = \{(x, y, fy^\sigma, x^\sigma + gy^\sigma) \colon x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\} \tag{16}$$

and

$$L(\mathbb{K}_{19}) = \{(x, y, fy^{\sigma^{-1}}, x^\sigma + gy) \colon x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\} \tag{17}$$

are the relevant linear sets associated with the semifields $\mathbb{K}_{17}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{19}$, respectively.

Recall that $L(\mathbb{K}_{17})$ and $L(\mathbb{K}_{19})$ are disjoint from the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(3,q^t)$ of $\mathbb{P}$ defined by the non–invertible matrices of $\mathbb{M}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_1$ be the regulus of $Q^+(3,q^t)$ containing the line $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and let $\mathcal{R}_2$ be the opposite one.

Remark 5.6. Note that the collineation Φ_T of $\mathbb{P}$ defined by the transpose operation on matrices fixes the quadric $Q^+(3,q^t)$ interchanging the reguli $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\Phi_T(L(\mathbb{K}_{17}(\sigma,f,g))) = L(\mathbb{K}_{19}(\sigma,\frac{1}{f^{\sigma^{-1}}},\frac{g}{f}))$. In other words, the family $\mathbb{K}_{19}$ is the transpose family of $\mathbb{K}_{17}$ (see [9, §5])

Proposition 5.7. *(1) $L(\mathbb{K}_{17})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of $\mathbb{P} = PG(3,q^t)$ of pseudoregulus type, whose transversal lines belong to $\mathcal{R}_1$.*

(2) $L(\mathbb{K}_{19})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear set of $\mathbb{P} = PG(3,q^t)$ of pseudoregulus type, whose transversal lines belong to $\mathcal{R}_2$.

Proof. Let r and r' be the lines of $\mathcal{R}_1$ with equations $r: x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and $r': x_0 = x_1 = 0$. Then the map

$$f:\ (x,y,0,0) \mapsto (0,0,fy^\sigma,x^\sigma + gy^\sigma)$$

induces a strictly semilinear collineation Φ_f between r and r' having σ as a companion automorphism. Then by Theorem 3.5, $L_{1,f}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type. Since $L_{1,f} = L(\mathbb{K}_{17})$ we get (1).

Point (2) follows from Remark 5.6 and Point (1). □

In the next theorem we prove that the descriptions of $L(\mathbb{K}_{17})$ and $L(\mathbb{K}_{19})$ given in Proposition 5.7 characterize the semifields $\mathbb{K}_{17}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{19}$ up to isotopism, generalizing some results contained in [5] and [21] for $t = 2$.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a presemifield of order q^{2t} with $\mathbb{F}_q$ contained in its center and $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ contained in its left nucleus and let $L(\mathbb{S})$ be the associated linear set with respect to the pair $(\mathbb{F}_q,\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. If $L(\mathbb{S})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type of $\mathbb{P} = PG(3,q^t)$ with associated transversal lines r and r' contained in $Q^+(3,q^t)$, then $\mathbb{S}$ is isotopic to a Knuth semifield $\mathbb{K}_{17}$ or $\mathbb{K}_{19}$. Precisely, if $r,r' \in \mathcal{R}_1$, then $\mathbb{S}$ is isotopic to a semifield $\mathbb{K}_{17}$, whereas, if $r,r' \in \mathcal{R}_2$, then $\mathbb{S}$ is isotopic to a semifield $\mathbb{K}_{19}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{F}_{q^t} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^t},+,\circ)$, with $\mathbb{F}_{q^t} \times \{0\}$ contained in its left nucleus. This implies that

$$(u,v)\circ(x,y) = (u,v)M,$$

where $M = M_{x,y} \in \mathbb{M}$. So, the spread set of matrices associated with $\mathbb{S}$ is

$$\mathbb{C} = \left\{ M_{x,y} = \begin{pmatrix} m_0(x,y) & m_1(x,y) \\ m_2(x,y) & m_3(x,y) \end{pmatrix} :\ x,y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \right\}$$

and

$$L_{\mathbb{C}} = L(\mathbb{S}) = \{(m_0(x,y),m_1(x,y),m_2(x,y),m_3(x,y)) :\ x,y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\},$$

where $m_i(x,y)$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear maps. Assume that the transversal lines r and r' of $L(\mathbb{S})$ are contained in $\mathcal{R}_1$. Since the group $H(\mathcal{S}_{2,2}) = G$ (see Remark 5.2) acts 2–transitively on the lines of $\mathcal{R}_1$, we can suppose that $r = \{(x_0, x_1, 0, 0)\colon x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\}$ and $r' = \{(0, 0, x_2, x_3)\colon x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\}$. Note that the stabilizer $G_{\{r,r'\}}$ in the group G of the lines r and r' acts transitively on the points of r. If P is any point of r, then the stabilizer $G_{\{r,r',P\}}$ of P in $G_{\{r,r'\}}$ fixes the point $P^\perp \cap r'$ and acts transitively on the remaining points of r'. This means that we can suppose, without loss of generality, that the line s with equations $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ belongs to the pseudoregulus associated with $L(\mathbb{S})$. Let $R = r \cap s = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $R' = r' \cap s = (0, 0, 0, 1)$. By Theorems 3.13 and 3.5 there exist a strictly semilinear collineation $\Phi:\ (x, y, 0, 0) \in r \mapsto (0, 0, h(x, y), g(x, y)) \in r'$ having $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, with $Fix\,\sigma = \mathbb{F}_q$, as companion automorphism, and an element $\rho \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^t}$ such that

$$L(\mathbb{S}) = \{(x, y, \rho h(x, y), \rho g(x, y)) :\ x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\}.$$

Since Φ is semilinear with companion automorphism σ, we have that

$$h(x, y) = a_1x^\sigma + a_2y^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, y) = b_1x^\sigma + b_2y^\sigma,$$

where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Also, since the line s belongs to the pseudoregulus associated with $L(\mathbb{S})$, we have $\Phi(R) = R'$, i.e. $h(1, 0) = a_1 = 0$. So $L(\mathbb{S}) = \{(x, y, \alpha y^\sigma, \beta x^\sigma + \gamma y^\sigma :\ x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\}$, where $\alpha = \rho a_2$, $\beta = \rho b_1$, $\gamma = \rho b_2$. Note that $L(\mathbb{S}) \cap Q^+(3, q^t) = \emptyset$ implies $\beta \neq 0$. The collineation ω of $\mathbb{P}$ defined as $\omega : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_0, x_1, x_2/\beta, x_3/\beta)$ fixes the reguli of $Q^+(3, q^t)$ and

$$L(\mathbb{S})^\omega = \{(x, y, fy^\sigma, x^\sigma + gy^\sigma) :\ x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\},$$

where $f = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ and $g = \frac{\gamma}{\beta}$, i.e., up to isotopy, $\mathbb{S}$ is a $\mathbb{K}_{17}$ Knuth semifield. Finally, if the transversal lines of $L(\mathbb{S})$ belong to $\mathcal{R}_2$, arguing as in the previous case, we get that $\mathbb{S}$ is isotopic to a $\mathbb{K}_{19}$ Knuth semifield. $\square$

References

[1] A.A. Albert: Isotopy for Generalized Twisted Fields, *An. Acad. Brasil. Ci.*, **33** (1961), 265–275.

[2] A.A. Albert: Generalized Twisted Fields, *Pacific J. Math.*, **11** (1961), 1–8.

[3] L. Bader, G. Lunardon: Desarguesian Spreads, *Ric. Mat.*, **60** (2011), 15–37.

[4] A. Blokhuis, M. Lavraw: Scattered spaces with respect to a spread in $PG(n, q)$, *Geom. Dedicata*, **81** no. 1-3 (2000), 231–243.

[5] I. Cardinali, O. Polverino, R. Trombetti: Semifield planes of order q^4 with kernel $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and center $\mathbb{F}_q$, *Europ. J. Combin.*, **27** (2006), 940-961.

[6] P. Dembowski: *Finite Geometries*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1968.

[7] J.W. Freeman: Reguli and pseudo-reguli in $PG(3, s^2)$, *Geom. Dedicata*, **9** (1980), 267–280.

[8] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, J.A. Thas: *General Galois geometries*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.

[9] D.E. Knuth: Finite Semifields and Projective Planes, *Journal of Algebra*, **2** (1965), 182–217.

[10] M. Lavrauw: On the isotopism classes of finite semifields, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, **14** (2008), 897-910.

[11] M. Lavrauw: Finite semifields with a large nucleus and higher secant varieties to Segre varieties, *Adv. Geom.*, **11** (2011), no. 3, 399-410.

[12] M. Lavrauw, G. Marino, O. Polverino, R. Trombetti: $\mathbb{F}_q$–pseudoreguli of $PG(3, q^3)$ and scattered semifields of order q^6, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **17** (2011), 225–239.

[13] M. Lavrauw, O. Polverino: *Finite semifields.* Chapter 6 in Current research topics in Galois Geometry (J. De Be Storme, Eds.), NOVA Academic Publishers, Pub. Date 2011, ISBN: 978-1-61209-523-3.

[14] M. Lavrauw, G. Van de Voorde: On linear sets on a projective line, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **56** (2010), 89–104.

[15] M. Lavrauw, G. Van de Voorde: Scattered linear sets and pseudoreguli, submitted.

[16] G. Lunardon: Normal spreads, *Geom. Dedicata*, **75** (1999), 245–261.

[17] G. Lunardon: Translation ovoids, *J. Geom.*, **76** (2003), 200–215.

[18] G. Lunardon, O. Polverino: Blocking sets and derivable partial spreads, *J. Algebr. Comb.*, **14** (2001), 49–56.

[19] G. Lunardon, O. Polverino: Translation ovoids of orthogonal polar spaces, *Forum Math.*, **16** (2004), 663–669.

[20] G. Marino, O. Polverino: On the nuclei of a finite semifield, Contemporary Mathematics Series-AMS, to appear (arXiv: 1111.3217v1).

[21] G. Marino, O. Polverino, R. Trombetti: On $\mathbb{F}_q$–linear sets of $\mathrm{PG}(3, q^3)$ and semifields, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **114** (2007), 769–788.

[22] O. Polverino: Linear sets in Finite Projective Spaces, *Discrete Math.*, **310** (2010), 3096–3107, doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2009.04.007.

[23] B. Segre: Teoria di Galois, fibrazioni proiettive e geometrie non desarguesiane, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **64** (1964), 1–76.

G. Lunardon and R. Trombetti
Dip. di Matematica e Applicazioni
Università di Napoli "Federico II"
80126 Napoli, Italy
lunardon@unina.it, *rtrombet@unina.it*

G. Marino and O. Polverino
Dip. di Matematica
Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli
81100 Caserta, Italy
olga.polverino@unina2.it, *giuseppe.marino@unina2.it*